

Problem Set 2

1. The Classical Wave Equation

- a) Use the method of separation of variables to show that the solution to the wave equation

$$\nabla^2\psi = \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial z^2} = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial t^2}$$

for electromagnetic waves in a cubic box of side  $L$  is given by:

$$\psi(x, y, z, t) = Ae^{-i\omega t} \sin \frac{l\pi x}{L} \sin \frac{m\pi y}{L} \sin \frac{n\pi z}{L}$$

with  $l, m, n$  integers. The boundary conditions are that  $\psi = 0$  at  $x, y, z = 0$  and at  $x, y, z = L$ .

- b) Show that the relation between the values of  $l, m, n$  and  $\omega$  is given by

$$\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{L^2}(l^2 + m^2 + n^2)$$

- c) We are often interested in a quantity called the density of states which is the distribution of eigenvalues. To find this quantity, we define

$$r^2 = l^2 + m^2 + n^2$$

and calculate the number of modes (eigenfunctions)  $n(r)$  in the range from  $r$  to  $r + dr$ . For large  $r$  this is given by the increment in volume of one octant of a sphere going from radius  $r$  to  $r + dr$  (when  $l, m, n > 0$ ). Liboff shows this graphically in Figure 2.17. Use this and the relation between  $r$  and  $\omega$  to show that

$$n(r)dr = \frac{L^3\omega^2}{2\pi^2c^3}d\omega$$

- d) Use the relationship between linear and angular frequency,  $\omega = 2\pi\nu$ , to find the energy density of electromagnetic radiation in the box,  $u(\nu)$ , given in Eq. (1).

$$En(r)dr = L^3u(\nu)d\nu$$

$$u(\nu) = \frac{8\pi\nu^2}{2c^3}E \tag{1}$$

- e) When multiplied by a factor of 2 to account for the two independent modes of polarization for each set of quantum numbers, and using equipartition of energy to set  $E = kT$ , Rayleigh obtained his and Jeans' law for black body radiation with the "ultraviolet catastrophe"

$$u(\nu) = \frac{8\pi kT}{c^3} \nu^2$$

Describe physically why this is a "catastrophe".

What was the fatal flaw in the derivation in parts a)-e)?

2. Determine  $\langle E \rangle$  for the wavefunction

$$\psi(x, t) = c_1 \psi_1(x) e^{-iE_1 t/\hbar} + c_2 \psi_2(x) e^{-iE_2 t/\hbar}, \text{ with } E_1 \neq E_2$$

Assume the Hamiltonian is time-independent and that  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  are normalized eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian. Give the answer in the case when you also know that

$$\int \psi_1^*(x) \psi_2(x) dx = \int \psi_2^*(x) \psi_1(x) dx = 0$$

(i.e.  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  are orthogonal). What happens if this is not true?

3. Determine the energy levels and eigenfunctions for the following problem:

$$V(x) = 0 \quad -\frac{a}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{a}{2}$$

$$V(x) = \infty \quad |x| > \frac{a}{2}$$

4. The uncertainty principle

In this problem, we will see an example of how the relation  $\Delta k \Delta x \sim 1$  arises for an electromagnetic wave. Consider a wave of the form:

$$E(x) = E_x \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2a^2} + ik_o x\right)$$

- a) Determine  $|E(x)|^2 = E(x)E^*(x)$  and draw a rough plot of  $|E(x)|^2$  vs.  $x$  to show that the spatial extent of this wave is  $\sim a$ .
- b) Next, we will decompose  $E(x)$  into plane waves of the form  $e^{ikx}$ .  $E(x)$  can be expressed as a superposition of plane waves, i.e.,

$$E(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk f(k) e^{ikx}$$

where  $f(k)$  is the contribution (Fourier component) of the plane wave with wavenumber  $k$ . We can determine  $f(k)$  by,

$$\begin{aligned} f(k) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx E(x) e^{-ikx} \\ &= \frac{E_0}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2a^2} + i(k - k_0)x\right) \end{aligned}$$

Work out this integral (you've seen it before) and draw a rough plot of  $|f(k)|^2$  vs.  $k$ . Show that the width of this distribution is  $\Delta k \sim 1/a$ .

Hence,  $\Delta k \Delta x \sim 1$ .

5. Show that

$$\sigma_x = \sqrt{\langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2}$$

for a particle in a box is less than  $a$ , the width of the box, for any value of  $n$ . If  $\sigma_x$  is the uncertainty in the position of the particle, could  $\sigma_x$  ever be larger than  $a$ ? What does this tell us about the uncertainty in momentum,  $\sigma_p$ ?