

Problem Set 4

Choose either the Lennard-Jones fluid problem or the Langevin double-well problem. If you work in a liquid simulation group (i.e. Maginn, Gezelter, Corcelli, Whitmer) you *must* choose the Langevin problem. *It is dangerous to go alone!* You are strongly encouraged to work in groups of 2-3 students. START EARLY and come talk to me to help get past any difficulties.

1. To do this problem, you will need to procure or write your own Lennard-Jones molecular dynamics program for simulating a simple fluid. You will use this program to generate a time series of configurations of the liquid. From this set of saved configurations, you will compute the pair distribution function, $g(r)$, and the velocity autocorrelation function, $\langle v(t)v(0) \rangle$, for a number of different temperatures and densities.
 - (a) The direct correlation function, $c(r)$ represents the direct correlation between two particles in a system containing $N - 2$ other particles. This direct correlation function can be expressed as:

$$c(r) = g(r) - g_{indirect}(r)$$

where $g(r)$ is the standard radial distribution function.

We can postulate the existence of a potential of mean force $w(r)$ for a simple liquid that approximates the interactions with all of the other atoms in the liquid:

$$g(r) = e^{-\beta w(r)}$$

and the differences between the potential of mean force and the pair potential, $v(r)$ result in the *indirect* contributions to the liquid's structure:

$$g_{indirect}(r) = e^{-\beta[w(r)-v(r)]}$$

It is also convenient to introduce one last function,

$$y(r) = e^{\beta v(r)} g(r)$$

- a) Prove analytically that $c(r) = f(r)y(r)$. What is $f(r)$?
- b) Plot all of the functions mentioned above for the Lennard-Jones fluid at the following (T^*, ρ^*) points: (1.5, 0.85) (1.5, 0.94), (1.3, 1.0)
- c) Under which conditions would it be reasonable to approximate the potential of mean force by the pair potential?
- d) If we approximate the potential of mean force by the pair potential what is the relationship between the direct correlation function and $g(r)$?

- (b) Plot the normalized velocity autocorrelation function, $\langle v(t)v(0) \rangle / \langle v^2 \rangle$ for each of those same state points: $(T^*, \rho^*) = (1.5, 0.85)$, $(1.5, 0.94)$, and $(1.3, 1.0)$. You will almost certainly need to write a program to do this calculation.
- (c) Compute the Fourier transform of the symmetrized velocity autocorrelation functions to find the power spectrum for the Lennard-Jones fluid in these conditions.

2. Consider the following double-well potential model for chemical reactions,

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{4}bx^4 - \frac{1}{2}ax^2 \quad (1)$$

where a and b are positive constants.

- (a) In the reactant well, we can approximate the potential as:

$$V_r(x) \approx V_r(x_0) + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_0^2(x - x_0)^2. \quad (2)$$

Find x_0 and ω_0 for the potential given in Eq. (1). m is the mass of the particle that is crossing the barrier.

- (b) In classical transition state theory, the rate of reaction is given by

$$k^{TST} = \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} e^{-\beta\Delta V_{cl}} \quad (3)$$

where ΔV_{cl} is the difference in energy between the bottom of the reactant well and the top of the barrier. Find an analytic expression for the k^{TST} for the potential in Eq. (1) in terms of a , b , m , and T .

- (c) Write a program which performs a Langevin simulation on a particle that starts at the transition state ($x = 0$) with an initial velocity ($\dot{x}(0)$) chosen randomly from a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. Use the absolute value function to insure that the particle is moving towards the reactant ($x > 0$) side when the simulation starts. Remember that the Langevin equation with static friction is

$$m\ddot{x} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} - \xi\dot{x}(t) + R(t) \quad (4)$$

and the second fluctuation dissipation theorem tells us that $\xi = \beta\langle R^2 \rangle$. That is, for a given friction and temperature, we also know the second moment for the distribution of the gaussian random force.

- (d) The classical rate can be obtained from the reactive flux time correlation function,

$$k_{cl} = \frac{1}{x_R} \langle \dot{x}(0) \delta[x^* - x(0)] h_p[x(t_{plateau})] \rangle \quad (5)$$

Your simulation program takes care of the delta function by starting the trajectories from the transition state ($x(0) = 0$), and takes care of the thermal average by using

a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution of initial velocities ($\dot{x}(0)$). To compute the reactive flux correlation function, you just need to accumulate *velocity-weighted* statistics on the fraction of trajectories that are on the product side ($x > 0$) in a particular time t . This weighted fraction will eventually stabilize or plateau, and the classical rate constant is the value this correlation function reaches after the plateau time. (x_R is the equilibrium mole fraction of the reactant.)

- (e) Transition state theory is not an exact theory, so the classical rate is often related to the TST rate by a scaling factor,

$$k_{cl} = \kappa k^{TST} \quad (6)$$

Plot κ as a function of solvent friction. Can you explain the behavior at low values of ξ ? What's going on with the high values of ξ ?